UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION Jun 09, 2008 FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

IN RE: TITLE INSURANCE REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES ACT (RESPA) & ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 1951

ORDER DENYING TRANSFER

Before the entire Panel: Plaintiffs in three Southern District of New York actions move, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings of the 25 actions listed on Schedule A in the Southern District of New York.¹ The plaintiffs' motion encompasses ten actions in the Eastern District of New York; eight actions in the Southern District of New York; two actions, respectively, in the Northern District of California, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and Western District of Washington; and one action in the District of Massachusetts. Plaintiffs in three other Southern District of New York actions support the motion. With only two exceptions, all defendants named in these actions² and three defendants named in related actions³ support the motion as well. Plaintiffs in the District of Massachusetts action and three Middle District of Florida

The parties have notified the Panel of 43 additional actions related to this litigation and pending in various federal districts.

Responding defendants named in related actions are Ohio Bar Title Insurance Co.; Port Lawrence Title & Trust Co.; and Public Title Insurance Co.

related actions initially responded in support of centralization but suggested the Middle District of Florida as the transferee district; however, at oral argument these plaintiffs appeared to oppose centralization and to support centralization in the Middle District of Florida only in the alternative.

Plaintiffs in the sixteen actions pending in Northern District of California, Eastern District of New York, Eastern District of Pennsylvania and Western District of Washington along with plaintiffs in at least twenty related actions pending in the District of New Jersey, Eastern District of New York, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern District of Pennsylvania and Eastern District of Texas oppose the motion.⁴ In the event the Panel orders centralization over their objections, plaintiffs in the Northern District of Ohio related actions suggest centralization in the Northern District of Ohio.

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, we are not persuaded that Section 1407 centralization would serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses or further the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. These actions, involving antitrust claims pertaining to the title insurance industry, encompass different regulatory regimes in the states in which actions are pending along with variances in insurance regulation and law in each state. The proponents of centralization have not convinced us that any common questions of fact among these actions are sufficiently complex and/or numerous to justify Section 1407 transfer at this time. The parties can avail themselves of alternatives to transfer, which may include seeking consolidation of actions pending in multiple districts within the same state, to minimize whatever possibilities there might be of duplicative discovery and/or inconsistent pretrial rulings. See, e.g., In re Eli Lilly and Co. (Cephalexin Monohydrate) Patent Litigation, 446 F.Supp. 242, 244 (J.P.M.L. 1978); see also Manual for Complex Litigation, Fourth, § 20.14 (2004).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion for transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, is denied.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

John G. Heyburn II

Chairman

D. Lowell Jensen Robert L. Miller, Jr. David R. Hansen J. Frederick Motz Kathryn H. Vratil Anthony J. Scirica

Plaintiffs in the Eastern District of Texas related action oppose the motion to the extent it encompasses consolidated, as opposed to merely coordinated, pretrial proceedings.

IN RE: TITLE INSURANCE REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT PROCEDURES ACT (RESPA) & ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 1951

SCHEDULE A

Northern District of California

Lynn Barton v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:08-1341 Lisa Gentilcore v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:08-1374

District of Massachusetts

Lucien Gougeon v. Chicago Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:08-10402

Eastern District of New York

Brendan Dolan, et al. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-466 MBSF Alabama LLC v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-528 Joseph Ragusa, et al. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-640 Marshall Cook v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-731 Douglas Brown, et al. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-744 Alex Wintner v. Chicago Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-764 Tuttnauer USA Co., Ltd. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-817 Lawrence Fisher v. Title Insurance Rate Service Association, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-1004 Rigoberto Capellan v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-1030 Valerio Gonzalez, et al. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-1030

Southern District of New York

Michael Martinucci v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:08-1644 Gerry Galiano v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:08-1317 Gary Kromer, et al. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:08-1494 Peter Miley v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:08-1547 Susan M. Marotta v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:08-1597 Vincent Trulli, Jr. v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:08-1729 Leyden Rosa Rovelo v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:08-1830 Sean L. Suarez v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 7:08-1955

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Barbara B. Holt v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-1202 Marc Waterman v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-1263

Western District of Washington

Timothy Lubic v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-401 Dawn T. Sorensen v. Fidelity National Financial, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:08-412